

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/623,994	07/21/2003	Graham A. Wheeler	221233	5501
23460 7590 01/22/2007 LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900			EXAMINER	
			OLATUNJI, O	OLATUNJI, OLATUNDE O
CHICAGO, IL 60	ETSON AVENUE 0601-6731	,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
C			2135	
SHORTENED STATUTORY F	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAY	/9	01/22/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/623,994	WHEELER, GRAHAM A.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Olatunde Olatunji	2135				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07/21</u>	<u> 1/2003</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	and the property in					
·	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		•				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
, — , , , — — — —	8) Claim(s) 1-38 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
	-					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Oπice	e Action of form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority document						
2. Certified copies of the priority document						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
AM-shares and share						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal 6	Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	0/ L. Other					

Art Unit: 2135

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-8 and 19-30, drawn to method for authority-based name resolution in distributed peer-to-peer networks, classified in class 726, subclass 6.
- II. Claims 9-13 and 31-34, drawn to method for publishing resolvable Internet services, classified in class 726, subclass 2.
- III. Claims 14-18 and 35-38, drawn to computer network managing method for successive name resolution in terms of multiple related authorities, classified in class 709, subclass 223.

Inventions III, II and I are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination I has separate utility such as a method for authority-based name resolution in distributed peer-to-peer networks. Subcombination II has separate utility such as a method for publishing resolvable Internet services. Subcombination III has separate utility such as a method for successive name resolution in terms of multiple related authorities. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Art Unit: 2135

4

A search for subcombination I would require search for authority-based name resolution in peer-to-peer networks. This concept is achieved by generating cryptographic keys associated with a namespace, creating an authority using one of the cryptographic keys, associated with the namespaces to issue a peer-to-peer type resolution so namespaces to which communication is desired, issuing a resolution that names the authority and names associated with the other namespaces to resolve to other authorities.

A search for subcombination II would require search for publishing resolvable Internet services. This concept is achieved by generating keys for the service to provide an authority, requesting an administrator of a top-level domain to publish a resolution to the service, delegating the authority to a subgroup of the service, and publishing the service over the Internet.

A search for subcombination III would require search for successive name resolutions in terms of multiple related authorities. Resolving an authority and name combination to a second authority and resolving the second authority to a further authority or to an end result achieve this concept.

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a Application/Control Number: 10/623,994

Art Unit: 2135

claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction were not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

XIM VU
SUPER 150RY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :07/21/2003; 09/15/2003; 01/28/2005; 03/28/2005; 05/31/2005.